

# Strategic Weeds - Grants Program - Panel Assessment Sheet

Assessment guide

Alignment to HRSWMP		Public Benefits	Links to ISP/HRSWMP outcomes	Value for money		
Very High- 5	Prevention or Eradication category weed under HRSWMP. Prohibited matter or Control order weed species, Targeting weed inside a biosecurity zone.	Very High- 5	The outcomes of the application will be of high public benefit. I.e. skills gained are relevant and useful to weed management, learning outcomes for local community members are high, and control works have outcomes giving benefit to the greater public, sites controlled have high importance to the public or a community.	Very High- 5	Clearly links to achieving an action of the HRSWMP.	Very High- 5
High- 4	Containment weed category weed under HRSWMP or outside Biosecurity Zone but within 10km of the zone.	High- 4		High- 4	Clearly links to progressing an action of the HRSWMP or an outcome of the ISP within the Hunter. Clearly links to achieving or progressing Strategic response in the Hunter region in the HRSWMP.	High- 4
Moderate- 3	Asset protection category under HRSWMP with at-risk assets and risk of impact defined well.	Moderate- 3		Moderate- 3	Links to progressing an action of the HRSWMP or an outcome of the ISP within the Hunter. Links to achieving or progressing Strategic response in the Hunter region in the HRSWMP.	Moderate- 3
Some -2	Asset protection category under HRSWMP with at-risk assets and risk of impact highlighted but not well defined.	Some -2		Some -2	Loosely links to progressing an action of the HRSWMP or an outcome of the ISP within the Hunter. Loosely links to progressing Strategic response in the Hunter region in the HRSWMP.	Some -2
Low- 1	Asset protection category under HRSWMP with at-risk assets and risk of the impact of very general nature or absent.	Low- 1	The outcomes of the application will have little to no public benefit. I.e. skills gained are not relevant and nor useful for weed management, learning outcomes for local community members are low or negligible, and control works have outcomes giving little benefit to the greater public, sites controlled have low importance to the public or a community.	Low- 1	Does not link to progressing an action of the HRSWMP or an outcome of the ISP within the Hunter. Does not link to progressing Strategic response in the Hunter region in the HRSWMP.	Low- 1

y

**Reduction in biosecurity risk or impact**

<p>Demonstrates very high continuity or strategic approach to engagement/education outcomes and priority issues, demonstrates very high partnership arrangements or collaborative approach (3 or more project partners). Works/items proposed are reasonable in expenditure compared to similar projects. In-kind contributions at a 2:1 (Co-contributions/Grant Fund) ratio or higher.</p>	High -5	<p>Reduction in biosecurity risk or impact from weeds is clearly described. Longterm management included in the plan or demonstrated skills gained or knowledge gained has clear links to reduction in biosecurity risk and or impacts or increase in awareness of high-priority weed issues.</p>
<p>"Demonstrates high continuity or strategic approach to engagement/education outcomes and priority issues, demonstrates high partnership arrangements or collaborative approach (3 or more project partners). Works/items proposed are reasonable in expenditure compared to similar projects. In-kind contributions at a 1:1 (Co-contributions/Grant Fund) ratio or higher. "</p>	High- 4	<p>Reduction in biosecurity risk or impact from weeds is described. medium to longterm management included in the plan or demonstrated skills gained or knowledge gained has clear links to reduction in biosecurity risk and or impacts or increase in awareness of high-priority weed issues.</p>
<p>Demonstrates high continuity or strategic approach to engagement/education outcomes and priority issues, demonstrates high partnership arrangements or collaborative approach (2 or more project partners). Works/items proposed are reasonable in expenditure compared to similar projects. In-kind contributions at a 1:1 (Co-contributions/Grant Fund) ratio or higher.</p>	Moderate- 3	<p>Reduction in biosecurity risk or impact from weeds is described. Short to medium-term management included in the plan or demonstrated skills gained or knowledge gained has links to reduction in biosecurity risk and or impacts or increase in awareness of high-priority weed issues.</p>
<p>Demonstrates continuity or strategic approach to engagement/education outcomes and priority issues, demonstrates partnership arrangements or collaborative approach (2 or more project partners). Works/items proposed are above expenditure compared to similar projects. In-kind contributions less than 1:1 (Co-contributions/Grant Fund) ratio.</p>	Some -2	<p>Reduction in biosecurity risk or impact from weeds is not well described. Short-term management included in the plan or demonstrated skills gained or knowledge gained has vague links to reduction in biosecurity risk and or impacts or increase in awareness of high-priority weed issues.</p>
<p>No evidence of collaboration, or linkages or building on previous efforts, very little evidence of sound decision making based on past efforts/needs assessed, no evidence of partners or others to be involved. Works/items are expensive compared to similar projects. In-kind contributions less than 1:1 (Co-contributions/Grant Fund) ratio.</p>	Low- 1	<p>Reduction in biosecurity risk or impact from weeds is not described. Short-term management is not included in the plan. No demonstration on how skills gained or knowledge gained has e links to reduction in biosecurity risk and or impacts or increase in awareness of high-priority weed issues.</p>